Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/850,203	SAITO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tracy Dove	1745
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Tracy Dove</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Murat Ozgu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 January 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claims 2-5, 11 and 12  Prior art documents discussed: Zwick et al. US4,499,663  Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>□ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Al Dag		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated the notice of allowance dated 1/12/05 will be withdrawn because the Examiner believes the clamed invention is taught and/or suggested by Zwick (US4,499,663). Examiner found the new prior art reference while searching a related case. A non-final action will be mailed after the case is withdrawn from issue.